

**Performance of the extremophilic enzyme *BglA* in the hydrolysis of two aroma glucosides in a range of model and real wines and juices.**

Lidia DELGADO<sup>a,b,c</sup>, Mango PARKER<sup>b</sup>, Ian FISK<sup>c</sup>, Francesca PARADISI<sup>a,1,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>University of Nottingham, School of Chemistry, Department of Chemical Biology, University Park, Nottingham NG7 2RD, United Kingdom.

<sup>b</sup>The Australian Wine Research Institute, Waite Precinct, Hartley Grove cnr Paratoo Road, Urrbrae (Adelaide), PO Box 197, Glen Osmond, SA 5064, Australia.

<sup>c</sup>University of Nottingham, School of Biosciences, Division of Food Sciences, Sutton Bonington Campus, Sutton Bonington Leicestershire, LE12 5RD, United Kingdom

<sup>1</sup> Current address: University of Bern, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Freiestrasse 3, CH-3012 Bern Switzerland

\*Corresponding author, E-mail: Francesca.paradisi@dcb.unibe.ch (F. Paradisi); Telephone number +41(0)31 631 4002

Authors' E-mails: Lidia Delgado, Lidia.Delgadocalvo-Flores@nottingham.ac.uk; Mango Parker, mango.parker@awri.com.au; Ian Fisk, Ian.fisk@nottingham.ac.uk.

**ABSTRACT**

β-Glycosidases enhance wine aroma by releasing volatile aglycones from non-volatile glycosides. Commercial preparations contain primarily pectinases and only display β-glycosidase as a secondary activity which limits their potential. Here, the extremophilic β-glucosidase A from *Halothermothrix orenii*, (*BglA*) has been compared with Rapidase<sup>®</sup> for the production of aromatic wines and in the remediation of smoke-tainted wines. Model systems as well as real juices and wines have been enriched with geranyl glucoside, typical of white varieties, and guaiacyl glucoside, commonly found in red wines exposed to oak and wines made from grapes exposed to smoke. The hydrolytic capacity

of *BglA* was evaluated by measuring the released volatiles in the gas phase with Solid Phase Microextraction and GC-MS. *BglA*, despite an apparent instability at low pHs, is twice as effective in the release of volatiles in sweeter wines and in grape juices offering an excellent alternative for the early stages of the winemaking process and in the juice industry.

**Keywords:** Enzyme, Glucosides, Wine, Monoterpenes, Aroma, GC-MS, SPME

## 1 Introduction

Aroma is considered a key aspect of wine quality. Despite the identification of over 800 aroma compounds (Rapp, 1990) only a small number of them contribute substantially to the aroma of wine (Francis & Newton, 2005; Loscos, Hernández-Orte, Cacho, & Ferreira, 2009). Among the volatiles that are important to the aroma of wine there are fruity and floral monoterpenes (geraniol, linalool and  $\alpha$ -terpineol) and volatile phenols (guaiacol and cresols), which, depending on their concentration and wine style, could affect differently the overall flavour and aroma.

Monoterpenes, formed in grapes during ripening, are crucial components of the varietal wine bouquet of Muscat and floral varieties (Sánchez Palomo, Pérez-Coello, Díaz-Maroto, González Viñas, & Cabezudo, 2006) but a major fraction is entrapped as flavourless, odourless, non-volatile glycosides, constituting an important reservoir of aroma (Skouroumounis, Massy-Westropp, Sefton, & Williams, 1995). Monoterpenes can be liberated from their glycosides by acid or enzymatic hydrolysis; but as acid hydrolysis is a slower process (Mojsov, Andronikov, Janevski, Jordeva, & Zezova, 2015; Wilkowska & Pogorzelski, 2017) and can cause rearrangements of the released aglycones, enzymes represent a useful alternative and can be added to maximize the aromatic potential of wines (González-Pombo, Fariña, Carrau, Batista-Viera, & Brena, 2014; Günata, Dugelay, Sapis, Baumes, & Bayonove 1993).

Phenolic glycosides are also formed when berries are exposed to smoke from bush fires and prescribed forest burns as the grapevines can uptake smoke constituents like guaiacols, cresols and

50 syringols, and accumulate them in the form of glycoconjugates. However in this case, their hydrolysis  
51 leads to the release of volatile phenols (VP) giving the wine a “smoky” or “ashy” aroma/flavour  
52 (Hayasaka, Dungey, Baldock, Kennison, & Wilkinson, 2010; Mayr et al., 2014; Singh et al., 2011).  
53 In addition, breakdown of glycosides of volatile phenols in the mouth, mediated by enzymes of the  
54 oral microflora can also contribute to smoky and ashy aftertaste (Parker et al., 2012). In this case, if  
55 enzymatic hydrolysis can be performed effectively during the wine processing, phenolic glycosides  
56 can be reduced, and the release of VPs can then be minimised using different techniques (van der  
57 Hulst et al., 2019), improving the overall flavour.

58 The aglycone moiety in terpenyl and phenol glycosides can be linked to a  $\beta$ -D-glucopyranose unit or  
59 to a disaccharide (Hjelmeland & Ebeler, 2015). While  $\beta$ -glucosidases [E.C.3.2.1.21] are capable of  
60 cleaving the glycosidic bond between the carbohydrate moiety and the aglycone (Singh, Verma, &  
61 Kumar, 2016), the release of the aglycone from disaccharide glycosides would normally require the  
62 action of other glycosyl hydrolases. Endogenous glycosidases from the grape and the winery  
63 environment have been extensively studied for this purpose; however, they do not tolerate well the  
64 harsh physical and chemical conditions that usually characterize wine processing such as low pH,  
65 high glucose and fructose, and sulphite content. Grape and yeast glycosidases present low activity  
66 under fermentation conditions (Sánchez Palomo, Díaz-Maroto Hidalgo, González-Viñas, & Pérez-  
67 Coello, 2005), therefore commercial preparations are mainly obtained from fungi and have primarily  
68 pectinase activity, with secondary glycosidase activity. Fungal glycosidases have a weak catalytic  
69 specificity which could lead to the hydrolysis of pigment glycosides, and consequent spoiling of  
70 colours and flavours (Hu et al., 2016). In addition, glucose inhibition is a common problem among  
71 fungal  $\beta$ -glucosidases (Chan et al., 2016; Maicas & Mateo, 2005; Sabel, Martens, Petri, König, &  
72 Claus, 2014). Hence, the search for new enzymatic alternatives, more adapted to the wine conditions,  
73 is highly relevant.

74 Extremophiles, organisms very well adapted to extreme environmental conditions unbearably hostile  
75 or even lethal for other forms of life (Rampelotto, 2013), constitute a novel and alternative source of

enzymes for industrial application. Extremozymes are generally more capable to withstand industrial processes in comparison with their mesophilic counterparts (Elleuche, Schröder, Sahm, & Antranikian, 2014). Among extremophiles, enzymes from halophilic microorganisms tolerate very high salinity, which normally leads to denaturation, aggregation, and precipitation of most other proteins. Genomic and structural analyses have established that halophilic enzymes have a higher pro-ratio of acidic amino acids versus hydrophobic ones and altered hydrophobicity compared to mesophilic enzymes, which enhance solubility and promote function in low water activity conditions (DasSarma & DasSarma, 2015). Adaptation to solvents follows the same principle as adaptation to salt, and thus, halophilic enzymes may be a valid option for biocatalytic processes performed in water/solvent environments like wines (Alsafadi & Paradisi, 2013).

Based on this hypothesis, the extremophilic organism *Halothermothrix orenii* was selected as a source of a  $\beta$ -glucosidase for possible application in the wine industry. *Halothermothrix orenii* is a true halophilic and thermophilic bacterium whose unique enzymes are described to have broad pH stability and ability to deal with high temperatures and a wide range of salt concentrations (Bhattacharya & Pletschke, 2014). In this work we evaluated the hydrolytic performance of the  $\beta$ -glucosidase *BglA* described by Kori et al. (Kori, Hofmann, & Patel, 2011) with two glucosides relevant to floral wine aroma and smoke-taint affected wines and compared it with a commercial preparation (Rapidase<sup>®</sup> Revelation Aroma).

## **2 Materials and methods**

### *2.1 Chemicals*

Water was obtained from a Milli-Q purification system (Millipore, North Ryde, NSW, Australia). Luria Bertani Broth, Miller and LB Agar, Miller were purchased from Fisher BioReagents<sup>TM</sup>, Imidazole 99 % was purchased from Alfa Aesar (Fisher Scientific, Bishop Meadow Road, Loughborough, UK). Citric acid monohydrate, potassium L-tartrate monobasic, D-(+)-glucose 99.5

100 %, D-(-)-fructose European Pharmacopoeia 98% and DL-malic acid  $\geq$  98 % (capillary GC) were  
101 purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Castle Hill, New South Wales, Australia). Hepes  $\geq$  99.5 % (titration),  
102 sodium chloride, acetone Suprasolv® ECD, ethanol for liquid chromatography LiChrosolv®, tartaric  
103 acid and *p*-nitrophenyl  $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside (*p*NPG) were obtained from Merck Pty Ltd (Kilsyth,  
104 Victoria, Australia). Rapidase® Revelation Aroma enzymatic preparation was purchased from  
105 Vintessential Laboratories (Dromana, Victoria, Australia). Geranyl glucoside, guaiacyl glucoside, d<sub>7</sub>-  
106 geraniol and d<sub>3</sub>-guaiacol were synthesised in-house (Hayasaka et al., 2010; Parker et al., 2012;  
107 Pedersen, Capone, Skouroumounis, Pollnitz, & Sefton, 2003; Pollnitz, Pardon, Sykes, & Sefton,  
108 2004).

## 109 2.2 Microbial strains

110 The halothermophilic microorganism *Halothermothrix orenii* H 168 was the source of the native  $\beta$ -  
111 glucosidase family 1 *BglA*. The constructed vector (BglA-pET45b) was kindly provided to us by Prof.  
112 J. Siegel at UC Davis. *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) was the laboratory strain chosen for the heterologous  
113 expression.

## 114 2.3 Enzyme expression, purification and lyophilisation

115 Cells of *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) harbouring the recombinant plasmid were grown at 37 °C in Luria-  
116 Bertani medium supplemented with ampicillin (0.1 mg/mL). When the OD<sub>600</sub> was between 0.6-0.8,  
117 isopropyl  $\beta$ -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside was added as inductor for the overexpression of the enzyme  
118 and the culture left at 30 °C overnight. Cells were harvested at 4500 G, 4 °C, 20 min and the pellet  
119 stored at -20 °C until purification.

120 The cell pellet was resuspended in buffer (HEPES (50 mM), sodium chloride (150 mM), imidazole  
121 (10 mM), pH 7.5) and cells were broken by sonication (6 min cycle, 5s on, 5s off, 50 % amplification).  
122 The lysate was collected by centrifugation at 14500 G, 1 h, 4° C, and the pellet was discarded.

123 The supernatant was then filtered through Millex® PVDF 0.45  $\mu$ m filter before loading it onto a  
124 HisTrap IMAC column previously loaded with NiSO<sub>4</sub> 0.1 M and washed with loading buffer (HEPES

(50 mM), sodium chloride (150 mM), imidazole (10 mM), pH 7.5). The column was washed with loading buffer until a plateau in the UV<sub>280</sub> absorbance was reached. Low affinity binding proteins were eluted using a step gradient 10 % elution buffer and the protein of interest was eluted using 100 % elution buffer (HEPES (50 mM), sodium chloride (150 mM), imidazole (300 mM), pH 7.5). The enzyme was dialysed overnight, flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and freeze dried overnight. (Labconco 8 Port Manifold on Consolo Freeze Dryer).

#### 2.4 Protein quantification and SDS-PAGE

Bradford Protein Assay was used for protein quantification using bovine serum albumin as standard. Sodium dodecyl sulphate electrophoresis was performed to assess protein purity. Image Studio Software (version 4.0) was used to quantify the size of the bands corresponding to the proteins of interest.

#### 2.5 Activity test

$\beta$ -glucosidase activity was determined spectrophotometrically by adding 10  $\mu$ L of the suitable enzyme dilution and 290  $\mu$ L of 10 mM *p*-nitrophenyl- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside (*p*NPG) in buffer HEPES 50 mM, pH 7.4 at 25 °C. The specific activity (U/mg) was expressed as  $\mu$ mol of product formed per minute per milligram of protein.

#### 2.6 Model wines and juices

Two different model wines were selected in representation of a completely sugar dry wine and a table wine with sugar concentrations typical for Australian commercial wines (Godden, Wilkes, & Johnson, 2015). Model wine 1 (MW1) consisted of saturated potassium hydrogen tartrate with 10 % (v/v) ethanol, pH 3.5. Model wine 2 (MW2) consisted of saturated potassium hydrogen tartrate with 10% (v/v) ethanol, 6 g/L glucose, 6 g/L fructose, pH 3.5.

Model juice (MJ) was prepared using water, 100 g/L glucose, 100 g/L fructose, 0.2 g/L citric acid, 3 g/L malic acid, 2.5 g/L tartaric acid, pH 3.7. pH was adjusted with tartaric acid 1M in all cases.

## 149 2.7 *Real wines and juices*

150 Two commercially available wines, one white (WW) and one red (RW), and a Chardonnay grape  
151 juice (WJ) produced in-house were used. A 2017 Chardonnay from Riverina, Australia with an  
152 alcohol content of 12.2% v/v, 4.9 g/L glucose and fructose, titratable acid 6.4 g/L and pH 3.35, a 2016  
153 Shiraz from South Eastern Australia with an alcohol content of 13.9% v/v, 5.8 g/L glucose and  
154 fructose, titratable acid 6.2 g/L and pH 3.66 and a Chardonnay juice with total soluble solids 22.6  
155 °Brix (~20 % total sugar content), 52 mg/L SO<sub>2</sub> and pH 3.5. Chardonnay and Shiraz grape varieties  
156 were chosen due to their low monoterpene content.

## 157 2.8 *Enzymatic treatment*

158 In separate 20 mL SPME vials, 3 mL of MW1, MW2, MJ, WW, RW and WJ were spiked with 5 µg  
159 of geranyl glucoside and 5 µg of guaiacyl glucoside. The amount added to each sample of Rapidase®  
160 or *BglA* was 0.01 mg/mL. The samples were left shaking at 22 °C over different incubation periods  
161 to allow enzymatic hydrolysis. The reaction was stopped by adding 2 mL of saturated CaCl<sub>2</sub>. Internal  
162 standards, d<sub>7</sub>-geraniol and d<sub>3</sub>-guaiacol, were added (2 µg) and the liberated aglycones were analysed  
163 using SPME-GCMS. All experiments were carried out in triplicate.

164 Geraniol and guaiacol calibration curves with a linear range between 0.02-5 µg were performed for  
165 each matrix.

## 166 2.9 *GC-MS analysis of volatiles*

167 A Gerstel autosampler (MPS) (Lasersan Australasia Pty Ltd, Robina, Queensland, Australia) was  
168 fitted with a 2 cm DVB/CAR/PDMS fibre assembly (Supelco, Bellefonte, PA) to sample the  
169 headspace above the stirred sample for 20 min at 35 °C, immediately prior to instrumental analysis.  
170 Analyses were carried out with an Agilent 6890A gas chromatograph and an Agilent 5973 mass  
171 selective detector (Agilent Technologies, Forest Hill, Australia) fitted with a Gerstel autosampler  
172 (MPS). The sample was injected in splitless mode. The splitter, at 58:1, was opened after 60 s. The  
173 injection liner was a Supelco injection sleeve made of 0.75 mm i.d. deactivated borosilicate glass.

174 The gas chromatograph was fitted with a 30 m x 0.25 mm Agilent J&W DB-35ms Ultra Inert column,  
175 0.25 µm film thickness. The carrier gas was helium, linear velocity was 36 cm/s, and flow rate was 1  
176 mL/min. The oven temperature, was held at 40 °C for 1 min, increased to 240 °C at a 5 °C/min rate,  
177 and held at this temperature for 2 min. The injector temperature was 220 °C, and the transfer line was  
178 held at 240 °C. Positive electron ionisation mass spectra at eV were recorded in SIM mode with *m/z*  
179 69, 81, 93, 99, 109, 121, 123, 124, 127, 128, 136, 154, and 161 with dwell 25 ms (See section 1 of  
180 the supplementary information for geraniol and guaiacol quantifiers and qualifiers for identification  
181 with MS).

182 Mass Hunter software (version B.09.00 Agilent) was used for the quantitative analysis.

183 The hydrolysis percentages were calculated using the following equations:

184 
$$\% \text{ geraniol release} = \left( \left( \frac{\text{amount of free geraniol detected}}{\text{amount of geranyl glucoside added}} \right) \frac{316}{154} \right) \times 100$$

185 
$$\% \text{ guaiacol release} = \left( \left( \frac{\text{amount of free guaiacol detected}}{\text{amount of guaiacyl glucoside added}} \right) \frac{286}{124} \right) \times 100$$

## 186 2.10 Data analysis


187 For the experiments in model wines (MW1, MW2) and model juice (MJ) two-way analyses of  
188 variance (ANOVA) (GraphPad Prism 8, San Diego, California, USA) were carried out to assess the  
189 effects of enzyme and incubation period on the hydrolysis of glycosides. For the experiments in real  
190 wines (WW, RW) and real juice (WJ) a paired t-test was run to assess the effect of the enzyme.  
191 Significant difference values were calculated in all cases (\*\*\*\* $p \leq 0.0001$ ; \*\*\* $p \leq 0.001$ ; \*\* $p \leq 0.01$ ,  
192 \* $p < 0.05$ ).



### 193 3 Results and discussion

#### 194 3.1 Protein expression, purification, and lyophilisation.

195 *BglA* was expressed with an average yield of 53 mg protein/L of culture. Estimation by quantification  
196 analysis (using Li-cor Odyssey Fc scanner and software Image Studio version 4.0) suggested that 13  
197 % of the crude extract corresponded to *BglA* (Fig 1). The activity of the crude extract was found to  
198 be 2.1 U/mg of total proteins. The enzyme was then purified by metal affinity chromatography, to  
199 better assess its hydrolytic capacity, and SDS-PAGE was done to assess its purity. For Rapidase<sup>®</sup>,  
200 estimation by quantification suggests that 10 % of the commercial preparation would correspond to  
201  $\beta$ -glucosidases (Fig 1).



202

203 **Figure 1.** A. Quantification of the bands corresponding to *BglA* in the crude extract and to the  $\beta$ -glucosidase in the Rapidase<sup>®</sup>  
204 preparation are indicated in red, the signal is expressed in relative fluorescence units (RFU) using Li-cor Odyssey Fc scanner and  
205 software Image Studio version 4.0), (1) ThermoFisher Scientific PageRuler™ Unstained Protein Ladder, (2) *BglA* in the crude extract  
206 (5  $\mu$ g) (3)  $\beta$ -glucosidases in Rapidase<sup>®</sup> (5  $\mu$ g). B. SDS-PAGE after *BglA* purification (1) Invitrogen™ BenchMar™ Protein Ladder (2)  
207 Pure *BglA* (5  $\mu$ g).

208

209 Following dialysis, *BglA* was lyophilized and stored at 4 °C until needed. An activity assay under  
210 standard conditions was performed before and after lyophilisation confirming enzymatic stability  
211 with a specific activity of 5.5 U/mg of protein. Rapidase<sup>®</sup> was used directly from the commercial


212 packaging with no further treatment. The specific  $\beta$ -glucosidase activity of the commercial  
213 preparation was calculated as 0.16 U/mg of protein.

### 214 3.2 *BglA preliminary assessment in media mimicking wine conditions*

215 As a first assessment of the suitability of *BglA*, a general characterization of the enzyme activity and  
216 stability under different conditions and in buffers mimicking different stages of wine processing was  
217 carried out. The enzyme retained 32 % and 90 % of activity when tested in the presence of 5 % (w/v)  
218 glucose and fructose respectively, and 80 % in the presence of 10 % (v/v) ethanol (See section 2 of  
219 the supplementary information). However, prolonged incubation of the enzyme in the different  
220 conditions, did not affect the enzyme structural integrity and a 100 % recovered activity was observed  
221 in all cases (See section 3 of the supplementary information).

### 222 3.3 *Enzymatic stability*

223 Stability assays of *BglA* and Rapidase<sup>®</sup> were also carried out in more complex media; two model  
224 wine systems (MW1 and MW2) and a model juice (MJ) were selected to mimic operational  
225 conditions. In addition, two real wine matrices (a white, WW, and a red, RW) and a white grape juice  
226 (WJ) were included in the screening to assess the performance and longevity of both enzymes in real  
227 complex matrices. The appropriate amount of lyophilised enzyme was dissolved in the different  
228 systems and incubated for varying periods of time at 22 °C. An activity test was performed at suitable  
229 intervals (1 h, 3 h, 24 h, and 120 h) to assess how the chemical conditions of the matrix (pH, ethanol  
230 and sugars) affect the stability of the enzymes.



**Figure 2.** *BglA* and Rapidase® stability assays in Model wine 1 (MW1), Model wine 2 (MW2), White wine (WW), Red wine (RW), Model juice (MJ) and White grape juice (WJ) incubated at 22 °C during 1 h, 3 h, 24 h and 120 h. Each data point is an average of 3 measurements.

As shown in Figure 2 commercial Rapidase® shows a better stability when incubated in MW1 (10 % ethanol, no sugar, pH 3.5), MW2 (10 % ethanol, 12 g/L glu+fru, pH 3.5), WW (12.2 % ethanol, 4.9 g/L glu+fru, pH 3.35) and RW (13.9 % ethanol, 5.8 g/L glu+fru, pH 3.66), retaining over 60 % activity after 5 days of incubation. *BglA* retains 7 % of activity after 5 days incubation in MW1 and no activity after 24 h in WW. However, after 5 days incubation in MW2, *BglA* shows a retained activity of 14 %, a two-fold increase with respect to MW1. As the sugar content is the only difference between

these two model matrices, it appears that fructose and glucose have a protective effect towards *BglA* stability. The stability of *BglA* improves when incubated in RW, retaining 15 % of activity, which is linked to the difference in pH between the two systems; 3.35 for WW (white) and 3.66 for RW (red). On the contrary, Rapidase<sup>®</sup> shows the opposite behaviour with a 15 % drop in activity when incubated for 5 days in RW in comparison with WW and this could be caused by the higher glucose and fructose content in the red wine (5.8 g/L for the red wine and 4.9 g/L for the white wine) which negatively impacts the stability of the commercial preparation.

However, in the model juice MJ (no ethanol, 200 g/L of glu+fruc, pH of 3.7) *BglA* is considerably more stable, retaining around 45% of activity after 120 h incubation. In the same matrix, Rapidase<sup>®</sup> stability suffers in comparison with its performance in real and model wines, where the pH and the sugar content are significantly lower, and it compares poorly with *BglA*. In white grape juice (WJ), Rapidase<sup>®</sup> outperforms *BglA*. WJ has less sugar content and lower pH than MJ which clearly impacts *BglA* stability.

### 3.4 Analytical determination of volatiles released upon enzymatic hydrolysis of glucosides

The hydrolytic capacity of *BglA* and Rapidase<sup>®</sup> was evaluated with geranyl and guaiacyl glucoside by measuring the release of the free volatiles in the gas phase with SPME-GCMS. To keep the assessment consistent with the stability tests, the catalytic efficiency was also assessed in model systems and real wines as opposed to simpler buffer solutions. The recommended dosage of Rapidase<sup>®</sup> for white wines is 1 mg of lyophilised powder per hectolitre of wine, and for red wines 2 mg/hl. However, *BglA* has been used as a purified preparation in all the assays to better assess its performance. To have consistency among all systems, the effective enzyme quantity has been determined by Bio-Rad protein assay, and the powders weighed to achieve 0.01 mg of protein per mL of matrix in all tests.

### 267 3.4.1 Release of volatiles from glucosides in model and real wines

268 Interestingly, despite a lower stability determined for *BglA* (Fig 1), the catalytic efficiency of this  
 269 enzyme in MW1 equals that of Rapidase® in the release of geraniol with no significative differences  
 270 (Table 1). The release of guaiacol by *BglA* is, on the other hand, significantly better after 5 days (97  
 271 %) in comparison with Rapidase® (75 %). The observed drop in the hydrolysed substrate after 8 days  
 272 incubation is a known artefact due to the rearrangement of the terpenes under acidic conditions  
 273 (Hampel, Robinson, Johnson, & Ebeler, 2014; Skouroumounis & Sefton, 2000).

274 When the catalytic performance was assessed in MW2, Rapidase® hydrolytic capacity was  
 275 diminished in comparison with MW1. The difference between MW1 and MW2 is once again the  
 276 sugar content. It is known that glucose is a common inhibitor for many  $\beta$ -glucosidases (De Giuseppe  
 277 et al., 2014) and a content of 6 g/L seems to affect the activity of the commercial preparation. The  
 278 formation of geraniol is complete after 24 h incubation in samples containing *BglA*, however in the  
 279 case of Rapidase® 5 days are required to reach complete hydrolysis, compared with 24 h required in  
 280 MW1. The guaiacol formed in samples containing *BglA* is 62 % after 5 d incubation while with  
 281 Rapidase® the release of guaiacol after the same incubation period is 6 times lower (10 %). The  
 282 results are in line with those obtained in the stability assays. The performance of Rapidase® is affected  
 283 by sugars; probably glucose is causing inhibition of the enzyme. On the other hand, *BglA* tolerates  
 284 very well high sugar contents.

MW1	Substrate	Enzyme	Time ****a	Geraniol released ( $\mu$ g)	% Hydrolysis
	Geranyl glucoside	<i>BglA</i>	24h	2.33 $\pm$ 0.09	96
			5d	2.51 $\pm$ 0.11	$\geq$ 99
			8d	2.19 $\pm$ 0.05	90
		Rapidase®	24h	2.42 $\pm$ 0.03	99
			5d	2.65 $\pm$ 0.15	$\geq$ 99
			8d	2.52 $\pm$ 0.11	$\geq$ 99
	Substrate	Enzyme **b	Time ****b	Guaiaciol released ( $\mu$ g)	% Hydrolysis
	Guaiacyl glucoside	<i>BglA</i>	24h	1.57 $\pm$ 0.07	72
			5d	2.12 $\pm$ 0.010	97
			8d	1.20 $\pm$ 0.27	55
		Rapidase®	24h	0.64 $\pm$ 0.04	29
			5d	1.64 $\pm$ 0.12	75

MW2			8d	1.39 ± 0.07	64
	<b>Substrate</b>	<b>Enzyme ****a</b>	<b>Time *****a</b>	<b>Geraniol released (µg)</b>	<b>% Hydrolysis</b>
	Geranyl glucoside	<i>BglA</i>	24h	2.49 ± 0.08	≥ 99
			5d	2.79 ± 0.07	≥ 99
		Rapidase®	24h	1.33 ± 0.10	55
			5d	2.70 ± 0.10	≥ 99
	<b>Substrate</b>	<b>Enzyme ****b</b>	<b>Time *****b</b>	<b>Guaiacol released (µg)</b>	<b>% Hydrolysis</b>
	Guaiacyl glucoside	<i>BglA</i>	24h	0.77 ± 0.04	35
			5d	1.36 ± 0.03	62
		Rapidase®	24h	0.04 ± 0.00	2
			5d	0.23 ± 0.02	10

**Table 1.** *BglA* and Rapidase® release of geraniol and guaiacol over 24 h, 5 d and 8 d in Model Wine 1 and over 24 h and 5 d in Model Wine 2. \*\*\*\*p ≤ 0.0001; \*\*\*p ≤ 0.001; \*p < 0.05. a=geraniol, b=guaiacol.

In comparison with model wines, real wines constitute a highly complex matrix. Without a doubt, underpinning the specific element which either inhibits or destabilises an enzyme is challenging. Potentially, any physical and chemical characteristic of wine is at play: interactions with other molecules, inhibition by sulphur dioxide, rearrangements between components, low pH, sugar content, phenolic glycosides, etc. (Plank et al., 1993). In all cases, hydrolysis was slower and that is reflected in the results.

Rapidase® shows improved activity in WW (Table 2), while the hydrolytic capacity of *BglA* is very limited. On the other hand, after 5 days incubation in RW, *BglA* releases over 30 % geraniol and over 3 % guaiacol. This improvement of the performance of *BglA* in red wine is probably related to a 0.31 pH units difference and 0.9 g/L sugars between white wine and red wine.

WW	<b>Substrate</b>	<b>Enzyme *****a</b>	<b>Time</b>	<b>Geraniol released (µg)</b>	<b>% Hydrolysis</b>
	Geranyl glucoside	<i>BglA</i>	5d	0.01 ± 0.01	0
		Rapidase®	5d	1.94 ± 0.02	80
	<b>Substrate</b>	<b>Enzyme ****b</b>	<b>Time</b>	<b>Guaiacol released (µg)</b>	<b>% Hydrolysis</b>
	Guaiacyl glucoside	<i>BglA</i>	5d	0.00	0
		Rapidase®	5d	0.24 ± 0.00	11
RW	<b>Substrate</b>	<b>Enzyme ***a</b>	<b>Time</b>	<b>Geraniol released (µg)</b>	<b>% Hydrolysis</b>
	Geranyl glucoside	<i>BglA</i>	5d	0.75 ± 0.06	31
		Rapidase®	5d	2.00 ± 0.07	82
	<b>Substrate</b>	<b>Enzyme **b</b>	<b>Time</b>	<b>Guaiacol released (µg)</b>	<b>% Hydrolysis</b>
	Geranyl glucoside	<i>BglA</i>	5d	0.75 ± 0.06	31
		Rapidase®	5d	2.00 ± 0.07	82

Guaiacyl glucoside	BglA	5d	0.07 ± 0.00	3
	Rapidase®	5d	0.23 ± 0.03	11

**Table 2.** *BglA* and Rapidase® release of geraniol and guaiacol over 5d in White Wine (WW) and Red Wine (RW). \*\*\*\* $p \leq 0.0001$ ; \*\*\* $p \leq 0.001$ ; \*\* $p \leq 0.01$ ; \* $p < 0.05$ . a=geraniol, b=guaiacol.

### 3.4.2 Glycosides release in model and real juice

Results in model juice (MJ) (Table 3) highlight an outstanding performance of *BglA* in comparison with the commercial preparation. While Rapidase® hydrolysis capacity is below 6 % for both compounds, the percentage of glycosides hydrolysed by *BglA* is over 60 % for geraniol and over 25 % for guaiacol after 5 days incubation, reaching 45 % after 8 days.

In the case of grape juice (WJ), after 5 days incubation *BglA* continues to show significantly better hydrolysis percentage for geraniol: 10 % against 6 % of Rapidase®. The amount of guaiacol liberated by *BglA* is also slightly higher (2 %) than the one released by Rapidase® (1 %).


MJ	Substrate	Enzyme *****	Time ***	Geraniol released (µg)	% Hydrolysis
	Geranyl glucoside	<i>BglA</i>	24h	0.94 ± 0.04	39
			5d	1.54 ± 0.04	63
			8d	1.15 ± 0.21	47
		Rapidase®	24h	0.03 ± 0.01	1
			5d	0.07 ± 0.02	3
			8d	0.08 ± 0.02	3
	Substrate	Enzyme *****b	Time *****b	Guaiacol released (µg)	% Hydrolysis
	Guaiacyl glucoside	<i>BglA</i>	24h	0.00	0
			5d	0.55 ± 0.11	25
			8d	0.98 ± 0.01	45
		Rapidase®	24h	0.00	0
			5d	0.04 ± 0.00	2
			8d	0.12 ± 0.04	5
WJ	Substrate	Enzyme **a	Time	Geraniol released (µg)	% Hydrolysis
	Geranyl glucoside	<i>BglA</i>	5d	0.26 ± 0.01	10
		Rapidase®	5d	0.14 ± 0.01	6
	Substrate	Enzyme	Time	Guaiacol released (µg)	% Hydrolysis
	Guaiacyl glucoside	<i>BglA</i>	5d	0.03 ± 0.01	2
		Rapidase®	5d	0.02 ± 0.01	1

**Table 3.** *BglA* and Rapidase® release of geraniol and guaiacol over 24 h, 5 d and 8 d in Model juice (MJ) and over 5 d in real White Juice (WJ). \*\*\*\* $p \leq 0.0001$ ; \*\*\* $p \leq 0.001$ ; \*\* $p \leq 0.01$ ; \* $p < 0.05$ . a=geraniol, b=guaiacol.

313 3.5 Detailed pH stability assay in MW1, MW2 and MJ


314 The results above show that *BglA* loses stability between pH 3 and 4. To further narrow the pH fork  
315 causing it, a more accurate stability assay of *BglA* and Rapidase® was carried out with 0.2 pH intervals  
316 between pH 3 and 4 in MW1, MW2 and MJ at 22 °C. Retained activity was measured after 1 h, 3 h,  
317 24 h and 120 h, same intervals as in the enzyme stability experiment in different matrices summarised  
318 in Figure 2. Unfortunately, measures after 120 h incubation were no longer reliable, probably due to  
319 sample concentration by water loss (results not shown).

320



321





**Figure 3.** *BglA* and Rapidase® pH stability assays in Model wine 1 (MW1), Model wine 2 (MW2) incubated at 22 °C during 1 h, 3 h and 24 h. Each data set is an average of 3 measurements.

*BglA* loses virtually all activity within 24h of incubation in MW1 and MW2 at a pH lower than 3.6. However, in MJ at pH 3.2, the enzyme still retains 20 % of its activity after the same incubation time. The experiments clearly show that the more sugar the matrix contains, the higher activity *BglA* retains, at all pHs. Rapidase® is clearly independent on pH and the preparation is equally stable between 3 and 4, however, the sugar content present in MJ reduces its activity by almost 50 % very rapidly. These results confirm once again the suitability of *BglA* for matrices with high content of sugars, for example during the maceration or other early stages of the winemaking, previous to the fermentation. Certainly, *BglA* displays great potential for its application in juices. In this work only grape juice has been tested but the results in model juice suggest that any other fruit juice would be a suitable matrix for *BglA*, especially those having a pH over 3.5, like some apple, orange or lemon juices (Yan et al., 2018).

Finally, it is worth to highlight that amounts of freeze-dried protein (mg), and not specific activities (U/mg) have been compared in this study. Due to the lower specific activity of Rapidase® under the same standard conditions, higher amount of freeze-dried preparation of Rapidase® would be required to achieve the same results as *BglA*. Clearly Rapidase® is stable, at least at low sugar content, and when the results are normalised per U of activity its performance is higher, however, from an industrial cost-effective point of view *BglA*, offers both as a crude preparation and in its purified form,

343 13-fold and 34-fold higher activity than the commercial preparation, which results in less quantity of  
344 catalyst needed during the wine-making process. In addition, the use of a purified catalyst eliminates  
345 the risk of side activities which are always possible in crude preparations and may limit in fact the  
346 quantity that can be added to the fermentation process (Sieiro, Villa, Da Silva, García-Fraga, &  
347 Vilanova, 2014)

#### 348 4 Conclusions

349  $\beta$ -Glucosidases are used in the wine industry to enhance the aroma of wines and have been proposed  
350 to remediate smoke taint defects. The hydrolytic capacity of *BglA* for geraniol glucoside and guaiacol  
351 glucoside was significantly better than the commercial preparation in all the tested matrices with high  
352 sugar content, where the performance of Rapidase<sup>®</sup> decreases considerably. In fact, *BglA* high activity  
353 in the presence of glucose, outperforms also other reported fungal  $\beta$ -glucosidase such as the one *W.*  
354 *anomalus*, which retains only 25 % of activity in the presence of 4 % (w/v) glucose (Sabel et al.,  
355 2014), or that from a *A. niger* which retains 64 % of activity when 1 g/L glucose (0.1 % w/v) is added  
356 to the reaction but only 2 % when 100 g/L glucose (10 % w/v) is used (Martino et al., 2000). *BglA* is  
357 also stable and active in the presence of ethanol as it can be observed from the results in model wines.  
358 On the other hand, the activity of *BglA* is very pH dependent and in matrices with a pH below 3.5,  
359 like real white wine, the enzyme is not able of hydrolysing glycosides. Future work on enzyme  
360 immobilization will be carried out to compare the enzymatic stability at low pH and try to improve  
361 it.

362 Great tolerance to sugar content along with improved performance over a broad pH range makes *BglA*  
363 an excellent candidate for aroma amelioration and mitigation of smoke taint in grape juices and wines,  
364 especially during the early stages of the winemaking process when the sugar content and the pH range  
365 is higher than in fermented wines. Future work will also include testing the enzyme in other model  
366 and real wines as well as a sensory evaluation of treated wines.

## 367    **5    Funding**

368    This work was supported by the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council [grant  
369    number EP/L015633/1] and by The Australian Wine Research Institute, a member of the Wine  
370    Innovation Cluster at the Waite Precinct in Adelaide and is supported by Australian grape growers  
371    and winemakers through their investment body, Wine Australia, with matching funds from the  
372    Australian Government.

## 373    **6    Abbreviations used**

374    ANOVA, analysis of variance; CIS, cooled inlet system; DVB/CAR/PDMS  
375    divinylbenzene/carboxen/polydimethylsiloxane, *E.coli*, *Escherichia coli*; GC, gas chromatography;  
376    g, gram; hl, hectolitre; h, hours; IPTG, Isopropil- $\beta$ -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside; MW1, model wine 1;  
377    MW2, model wine 2; MJ, model juice; MPS, multipurpose sampler LB, Luria-Bertani; multipurpose  
378    sampler; MS, mass spectrometry;  $\mu$ g, microgram; OD, optical density; *p*NPG, paranitrophenol- $\beta$ -D-  
379    Glucopyranoside; RW, red wine; rpm, revolutions per minute; s, seconds; SIM, selected ion  
380    monitoring; SPME-GCMS, solid-phase microextraction, gas chromatography mass spectrometry;  
381    VP, volatile phenols; v/v, volume volume; w/v, weight per volume; WJ, white juice; WW, white  
382    wine.

## 383    **7    Conflict of interest**

384    The authors declare no conflict of interest in publishing this work.

## 385    **8    Acknowledgements**

386    The authors of this publication would like to thank Dr. Charfedinne Ayed, Food Sciences division,  
387    School of Biosciences, University of Nottingham and AWRI colleague Dr. Tracey Siebert for their

388 training and help with GC-MS. We thank The Australian Wine Research Institute Commercial  
389 Services for assistance with chemical analysis.

## 390 **9 Bibliography**

- 391 Alsafadi, D., & Paradisi, F. (2013). Effect of organic solvents on the activity and stability of  
392 halophilic alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH2) from *Haloferax volcanii*. *Extremophiles*, 17(1),  
393 115–122. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00792-012-0498-0>
- 394 Bhattacharya, A., & Pletschke, B. I. (2014). Review of the enzymatic machinery of  
395 *Halothermothrix orenii* with special reference to industrial applications. *Enzyme and Microbial*  
396 *Technology*, 55, 159–169. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enzmictec.2013.10.011>
- 397 Chan, C. S., Sin, L. L., Chan, K.-G., Shamsir, M. S., Manan, F. A., Sani, R. K., & Goh, K. M.  
398 (2016). Characterization of a glucose-tolerant  $\beta$ -glucosidase from *Anoxybacillus* sp. DT3-1.  
399 *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 9(1), 174. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-016-0587-x>
- 400 DasSarma, S., & DasSarma, P. (2015). Halophiles and their enzymes: Negativity put to good use.  
401 *Current Opinion in Microbiology*, 25(Table 1), 120–126.  
402 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2015.05.009>
- 403 De Giuseppe, P. O., Souza, T. D. A. C. B., Souza, F. H. M., Zanthorlin, L. M., Machado, C. B.,  
404 Ward, R. J., ... Murakami, M. T. (2014). Structural basis for glucose tolerance in GH1  $\beta$ -  
405 glucosidases. *Acta Crystallographica Section D: Biological Crystallography*, 70(6), 1631–  
406 1639. <https://doi.org/10.1107/S1399004714006920>
- 407 Elleuche, S., Schröder, C., Sahm, K., & Antranikian, G. (2014). Extremozymes-biocatalysts with  
408 unique properties from extremophilic microorganisms. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*,  
409 29(1), 116–123. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2014.04.003>
- 410 Francis, I. L., & Newton, J. L. (2005). FRANCIS & Newton (2005) Determining wine aroma from

411 compositional data, 114–126.

412 Godden, P., Wilkes, E., & Johnson, D. (2015). Trends in the composition of Australian wine 1984-  
 413 2014. *Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research*, 21, 741–753.  
 414 <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajgw.12195>

415 González-Pombo, P., Fariña, L., Carrau, F., Batista-Viera, F., & Brena, B. M. (2014). Aroma  
 416 enhancement in wines using co-immobilized aspergillus Niger glycosidases. *Food Chemistry*.  
 417 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.07.107>

418 Hampel, D., Robinson, A. L., Johnson, A. J., & Ebeler, S. E. (2014). Direct hydrolysis and analysis  
 419 of glycosidically bound aroma compounds in grapes and wines: Comparison of hydrolysis  
 420 conditions and sample preparation methods. *Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research*,  
 421 20(3), 361–377. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajgw.12087>

422 Hayasaka, Y., Dungey, K. A., Baldock, G. A., Kennison, K. R., & Wilkinson, K. L. (2010).  
 423 Identification of a  $\beta$ -d-glucopyranoside precursor to guaiacol in grape juice following  
 424 grapevine exposure to smoke. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 660(1–2), 143–148.  
 425 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2009.10.039>

426 Hjelmeland, A. K., & Ebeler, S. E. (2015). Glycosidically bound volatile aroma compounds in  
 427 grapes and wine: A review. *American Journal of Enology and Viticulture*, 66(1), 1–11.  
 428 <https://doi.org/10.5344/ajev.2014.14104>

429 Hu, K., Qin, Y., Tao, Y. S., Zhu, X. L., Peng, C. T., & Ullah, N. (2016). Potential of Glycosidase  
 430 from Non-Saccharomyces Isolates for Enhancement of Wine Aroma. *Journal of Food Science*,  
 431 81(4), M935–M943. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.13253>

432 Kori, L. D., Hofmann, A., & Patel, B. K. C. (2011). Expression, purification and preliminary  
 433 crystallographic analysis of the recombinant B-glucosidase (BglA) from the halothermophile  
 434 *Halothermothrix orenii*. *Acta Crystallographica Section F: Structural Biology and*

435        *Crystallization Communications*, 67(1), 111–113. <https://doi.org/10.1107/S1744309110046981>

436    Loscos, N., Hernández-Orte, P., Cacho, J., & Ferreira, V. (2009). Comparison of the suitability of  
437        different hydrolytic strategies to predict aroma potential of different grape varieties. *Journal of*  
438        *Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 57(6), 2468–2480. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf803256e>

439    Maicas, S., & Mateo, J. J. (2005). Hydrolysis of terpenyl glycosides in grape juice and other fruit  
440        juices: A review. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 67(3).  
441        <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-004-1806-0>

442    Martino, A., Schiraldi, C., Di Lazzaro, A., Fiume, I., Spagna, G., Pifferi, P. , & De Rosa, M.  
443        (2000). Improvement of the flavour of Falanghina white wine using a purified glycosidase  
444        preparation from *Aspergillus niger*. *Process Biochemistry*, 36(1), 93–102.  
445        [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0032-9592\(00\)00181-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0032-9592(00)00181-3)

446    Mayr, C. M., Parker, M., Baldock, G. A., Black, C. A., Pardon, K. H., Williamson, P. O., ...  
447        Francis, I. L. (2014). Determination of the importance of in-mouth release of volatile phenol  
448        glycoconjugates to the flavor of smoke-tainted wines. *Journal of Agricultural and Food*  
449        *Chemistry*, 62(11), 2327–2336. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf405327s>

450    Mojsov, K. D., Andronikov, D., Janevski, A., Jordeva, S., & Zezova, S. (2015). Enzymes and Wine  
451        – the Enhanced Quality and Yield. *Advanced Technologies*, 4(10), 94–100. Retrieved from  
452        <http://www.tf.ni.ac.rs/casopis/sveska4vol1/c13.pdf>

453    Parker, M., Osidacz, P., Baldock, G. A., Hayasaka, Y., Black, C. A., Pardon, K. H., ... Francis, I. L.  
454        (2012). Contribution of several volatile phenols and their glycoconjugates to smoke-related  
455        sensory properties of red wine. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 60(10), 2629–  
456        2637. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf2040548>

457    Pedersen, D. S., Capone, D. L., Skouroumounis, G. K., Pollnitz, A. P., & Sefton, M. A. (2003).  
458        Quantitative analysis of geraniol, nerol, linalool, and  $\alpha$ -terpineol in wine. *Analytical and*

459 *Bioanalytical Chemistry*, 375(4), 517–522. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-002-1716-x>

460 Plank, P. F. H., Zent, J. B., International, G., Way, K., San, S., & Franklin, B. (1993). Use of  
 461 Enzymes in Wine Making and Grape Processing Technological Advances, 181–196.

462 Pollnitz, A. P., Pardon, K. H., Sykes, M., & Sefton, M. A. (2004). The effects of sample preparation  
 463 and gas chromatograph injection techniques on the accuracy of measuring guaiacol, 4-  
 464 methylguaiacol and other volatile oak compounds in oak extracts by stable isotope dilution  
 465 analyses. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 52(11), 3244–3252.  
 466 <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf035380x>

467 Rampelotto, P. H. (2013). Extremophiles and extreme environments. *Life (Basel, Switzerland)*, 3(3),  
 468 482–485. <https://doi.org/10.3390/life3030482>

469 Rapp, A. (1990). and sensory perception Riesling, 777–785.

470 Sabel, A., Martens, S., Petri, A., König, H., & Claus, H. (2014). Wickerhamomyces anomalus AS1:  
 471 A new strain with potential to improve wine aroma. *Annals of Microbiology*, 64(2), 483–491.  
 472 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13213-013-0678-x>

473 Sánchez Palomo, E., Díaz-Maroto Hidalgo, M. C., González-Viñas, M. Á., & Pérez-Coello, M. S.  
 474 (2005). Aroma enhancement in wines from different grape varieties using exogenous  
 475 glycosidases. *Food Chemistry*, 92(4), 627–635.  
 476 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2004.08.025>

477 Sánchez Palomo, E., Pérez-Coello, M. S., Díaz-Maroto, M. C., González Viñas, M. A., &  
 478 Cabezudo, M. D. (2006). Contribution of free and glycosidically-bound volatile compounds to  
 479 the aroma of muscat “a petit grains” wines and effect of skin contact. *Food Chemistry*, 95(2),  
 480 279–289. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2005.01.012>

481 Sieiro, C., Villa, T. G., Da Silva, A. F., García-Fraga, B., & Vilanova, M. (2014). Albariño wine  
 482 aroma enhancement through the use of a recombinant polygalacturonase from Kluyveromyces

483 marxianus. *Food Chemistry*, 145, 179–185. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.08.050>

484 Singh, D. P., Chong, H. H., Pitt, K. M., Cleary, M., Dokoozlian, N. K., & Downey, M. O. (2011).  
 485 Guaiacol and 4-methylguaiacol accumulate in wines made from smoke-affected fruit because  
 486 of hydrolysis of their conjugates. *Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research*, 17(2), 13–  
 487 21. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0238.2011.00128.x>

488 Singh, G., Verma, A. K., & Kumar, V. (2016). Catalytic properties, functional attributes and  
 489 industrial applications of  $\beta$ -glucosidases. *3 Biotech*. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-015-0328-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-015-0328-z)  
 490 *z*

491 Skouroumounis, G K, Massy-Westropp, R., Sefton, M. A., & Williams, P. J. (1995). Synthesis of  
 492 glucosides related to grape and wine aroma precursors. *Journal of Agricultural and Food*  
 493 *Chemistry*, 43(4), 974–980. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf00052a025>

494 Skouroumounis, George K., & Sefton, M. A. (2000). Acid-catalyzed hydrolysis of alcohols and  
 495 their  $\beta$ -D-glucopyranosides. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 48(6), 2033–2039.  
 496 <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf9904970>

497 van der Hulst, L., Munguia, P., Culbert, J. A., Ford, C. M., Burton, R. A., & Wilkinson, K. L.  
 498 (2019). Accumulation of volatile phenol glycoconjugates in grapes following grapevine  
 499 exposure to smoke and potential mitigation of smoke taint by foliar application of kaolin.  
 500 *Planta*, 249(3), 941–952. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-018-03079-x>

501 Wilkowska, A., & Pogorzelski, E. (2017). Aroma enhancement of cherry juice and wine using  
 502 exogenous glycosidases from mould, yeast and lactic acid bacteria. *Food Chemistry*, 237, 282–  
 503 289. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.05.120>

504 Yan, Z., Zhang, X., Bao, C., Tang, H., Zhao, Q., Hu, L., & You, J. (2018). A novel luminol  
 505 derivative and its functionalized filter-paper for reversible double-wavelength colorimetric pH  
 506 detection in fruit juice. *Sensors and Actuators, B: Chemical*, 262, 869–875.



507 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2018.02.100>

508 Z. Günata, I. Dugelay, J.C. Sapis, R. Baumes, C. B. (1993). Role of enzymes in the use of the flavor  
509 potential from grape glycosides in winemaking. In P. W. P. Schreier (Ed.), *Progress in*  
510 *Flavour Precursor Studies* (pp. 219–234).

511